Tab 7 – Standard 21

M.Perez introduced K.RajBhandary and J.Fisher the producers of EZ Dump.

Discussion

1) EZDump (FE-2012-24) – K.RajBhandary and J.Fisher demonstrated the trash can and the many improvements versus traditional cans. They focused on the OSHA safe aspect, but also included the cleanability advantages, then opened up dialogue to everyone for questions.

T.Johnson asked what are you specifically seeking? K.RajBhandary and J.Fisher explained they don't have a current NSF listing because the EZDump Can is not water tight. They are looking to get the standard re-written to include this type of product design. D.Negandhi asked M.Kohler if this is the only requirement they are not meeting. M.Kohler said he believed so. T.Johnson asked how is this water tight test performed, to which M.Kohler gave details. M.Kohler further added as a Joint Committee we can put parameters around what defines “water tight”. It's never been questioned before. S.Tackitt said this is a very old standard. Originally, these were garbage cans made of metal and now we aren’t throwing away the same type of product. We don’t need water tight in the standard anymore because there are can liners. We should relook at the entire standard with the public health safety in mind. M.Kohler said maybe we need different classifications for different containers. The food code identifies the difference between garbage and trash.

M.Perez entertained a call for a motion

Action

Motion by J.Hipp: M.Kohler, J.Hipp and issue proponent to work on language for changing the standard

Second: J.Brady

Further discussion: J.Hipp – we may not need a task group. Maybe someone can re-write and simply send to JC for balloting. J.Peterson – simply change language from “water tight” to “water tight or using a liner”. A.Rose to set up new Standard 21 Task Group.

Vote: Twenty-eight in favor, Zero opposed, Zero Abstain

Motion: Carries