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Next Call: Tentatively planned for Friday, February 6th from 10:30am-12pm EST.

Jessica Slomka took attendance and read anti-trust.

Doug Mazeffa welcomed the committee back from holiday and reviewed the agenda items sent out before the call.

The ACA PCR draft document has been revised to address the comments that were received during the public comment period. Significant changes to the document are as follows:

Sections with Significant Changes

a. Eligible Architectural Coating Product Categories
   i. Made more general. Now better aligned with functionality differences

b. Colorants
   i. Colorant LCA revised and language substantially revised. The language is more transparent now and is based on consumer preference.

c. Durability Tests/Thresholds
   i. Interior Coating Thresholds were made more stringent.
      1. At the last teleconference, the PCR committee was concerned that the thresholds were too relaxed, therefore these were revised.
   ii. Testing procedures better documented
   iii. Language clarified
      1. Durability language was specifically revised for clarity.
      2. The committee is encouraged to review this section and offer feedback to Doug and Jessica Slomka.

d. Glossary
   i. Added glossary towards end of PCR
      1. The committee is encouraged to suggest additions to the glossary.

e. Additional Environmental Information
   i. Toxicity now optional (based on the input from the committee at the previous teleconference) and additional guidance given for its determination/reporting.
   ii. Some additional changes needed to better align with ISO 21930

f. General Changes/Formatting
i. Language, headings, references, and formatting revised based on comments. Harmonized terms with PEF (Product Environmental Footprint initiative in the EU).

ii. The committee is encouraged to review the general language and suggest revisions.

**General Discussion** The group discussed the PEF initiative in the EU. Doug noted that we are attempting to align with PEF. Emilie Carasso noted that the terminology in the PEF program has recently been revised. She will confirm our language aligns when she reviews the document.

The group discussed the companion document that will be created to address alignment with EN15804 and/or the PEF. NSF and ACA will determine where this document should be housed.

**Next Steps/Timeline**

- Committee to review changes to the PCR (2-3 weeks)
  i. The draft PCR document will be circulated to the committee later today. The committee will be given 2-3 weeks to review changes and submit any concerns they have. Please submit concerns to Jessica Slomka at jslomka@nsf.org.

- External review panel (4 weeks)
  i. After the 2-3 week committee review, any submitted concerns will be addressed. Once all concerns have been addressed, the PCR will proceed to a 3-person external review panel. The external review typically takes 4 weeks.

- External review panel comments
  i. The committee will review and respond to the external review panel comments.

- Ready for vote
  i. Once the external review panel comments have been addressed, the committee will officially vote on whether or not the document is ready to publish.

- Where PCR, additional information documents to be hosted?
  i. Once the PCR is completed, the final document will be circulated to the committee and posted on the NSF online workspace.
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