STANDARD 40 TASK GROUP

Conference Call: 14 Jan 2015

Background: 2014 Joint Committee Meeting Excerpt

**NSF/ANSI 40 WWT 2014-6:**
Ron presented his issue on new products within standard 40. There are aspects of the standard that do not apply or may be challenging to follow for these new products. His proposal was a task group to look at new technologies and how they apply to NSF/ANSI 40. The intent was to be proactive to the new technologies. Motion by Ron Suchecki to create a task group for standard 40 on this issue; seconded by Jim Meyer.

Discussion: There was a proposal to consider that an evaluation should have a method of screening for each new technology. There was a question about what the question was for testing these systems. Because there are different methods for testing; Ron's concern with the system's output in the field. Those are innovative systems. Last year, there was agreement that the new products meet the intent of standard 40. All products certified have met the standard in its current form which may have meant the manufacturers made changes to meet the standard. When installed, systems are not verified as it was in the testing situation. Another suggestion was to separate the sections for testing into the applicable technologies. Dave Presby commented on the product they make that meets the standards for treatment systems. Their sand meets a specification for the product and treatment system – it may need different criteria based on the technology. There is a movement with new technology, graywater diversion, treatment technologies that may require different ways to verify performance through testing.

Vote: Majority affirmative; one negative; two abstentions.

Motion passed.

Volunteers: Ron Suchecki, George Heufelder, Theo Terry, Steven Berkowitz, Colin Bishop (chair), Karianne Lavoie, Jim Bell, Randy Chelette, Jim Meyer, Jeff Coomer, Trent Lydic, Bob Bastian, Dave Presby, David Jumper, David Lentz, Troy Cormier, Joelle Wirth (vice chair).

Task Group Discussion

1. What sections of Standard 40 would you like to see opened up for discussion on potential changes?

2. What specific issues are you seeing with implementing Standard 40?

3. Does Standard 40 need to address water use patterns?