**Wednesday, May 15-16, 2013**

Meeting excerpt

X **Current Open Ballot Issues**

A. **Batch Use Pattern**

**Discussion:** K. Sauerbier reviewed the comments submitted on the currently open ballot 42i73r2 et al – batch use pattern, which revises the usage pattern specified for nonplumbed pour-through-type batch treatment systems when no manufacturer’s use pattern is specified. He agreed with all comments with the exception of a comment regarding how a batch is defined. The concern is that often the effluent reservoir is twice the size of the influent in these types of devices and therefore the consumer will fill the influent reservoir twice in succession to create a batch of treated water. K. Sauerbier stated that he disagreed and suggested leaving the proposed language as it is currently written. The group discussed how most consumers would fill the reservoir. The suggestion was made that the rest period could begin from the influent pour at the beginning instead of at the end of the cycle. Another option was proposed that if the bottom chamber of the device holds more than two times more than the influent, then it would be considered two batches. There was general agreement among the group to define a batch in this manner.