Tuesday, November 9, 2004

IV **Effects One or More of the DWTU Family of Unit Standards**

D. NSF/ANSI 53 & 58 Term remove/removal in section 8 DWTU-2004-29

**Motion:** The word "remove" can be used as long as you include the percentage on all claims. F. Brigano, K. Smith seconded.

**Discussion:** A large percentage of the committee felt there would be confusion among consumers based on initial influent/effluent levels. They felt the consumer would misinterpret the labels (i.e. the system/device might start with a 98% reduction but over time the reduction would reduce to 75%). There was no issue with crypto claims using the word remove because of the extremely high challenge and high reduction requirement. However the application of this practice to chemical reduction claims was found to be different and the use of percent removal would be confusing.

**Vote:** 24 opposed and 1 in favor.

**Motion failed.**

**Motion:** A ballot should be prepared based on the proposed language in the issue document. R. Herman moved, S. Bharaj seconded.

**Discussion:** The committee felt that whether "reduce" or "remove" is used, it is just a matter of semantics.

**Vote:** All were in favor.

**Motion passed.**