B. Chemical distributors (DWA-60-2019-10)

**Motion:** Remove the word “blend” from distributors with TI < CPI 50 score. S. Randall motioned; Bill Mersch seconded.

**Discussion:** B. Stark provided additional background on the purpose of NSF/ANSI 223. He stated that he believed that the original intent for the increased frequency of audits for facilities that are located in a country with a TI CPI score of < 50 was the for the full chemical that was packaged. He stated that he did not believe the intent was for the blender, except if the blender itself is located in a country with a lower CPI index score. Blenders receive a technical formulation review. B. Stark proposed that the word “blend” be removed from section 5.2 (distributers with a TI CPI score < 50).

The group discussed the definitions of “blend” versus “dilute.” K. Licko questioned why one chemical mixed with water (i.e., dilution) would not be acceptable, but two or chemicals (i.e., blend) would be. S. Randall stated the that the original task group had to draw the line somewhere. If there are six chemicals blended and even one is from low CPI country, then the certifier would have to chase that down. There were differing opinions among the group on the difference between blender and diluter. B. Stark confirmed that the transfer of previous certified products would not be affected.

**Vote:** 15 in favor; 3 opposed (D. Mott, J. Palmer, V. Ray); 9 abstentions (K. Licko, S. Neal, J. Springer, T. Palkon, R. Sakaji, S. Teefy, S. Anderson, A. Dail, K. Miller)

**Motion passed.**