RWF-2019-1 – Output dose display (G. Diefenthal)

G. Diefenthal explained that the issue paper was submitted due to concerns that the UV dose of a system is required to be displayed on the unit, but there is no validation of the output reading in the standard. He suggested removing the requirement from the standard until there is a means to validate the data.

Motion by S. Choe
Send to Joint Committee approval ballot
Second: D. Riggs
Discussion:
S. Choe asked if a previous ballot (50i155r1) had already changed the language. R. George asked if the current language was not being enforced per certification policy, which had provided 2 years for the transition. He added that UVT meters may not be required for the drinking water industry soon. T. Vyles stated that including requirements that are not enforced may weaken the standard. K. Gregory suggested that the language be removed, and test validation be developed by a task group. S. Choe reminded the group that secondary disinfection devices would still require a display. G. Diefenthal stressed the need for a test method for either secondary or supplemental disinfection.

Vote:
20 in favor.
1 opposed. (R. George)

Motion: Carries.

Next, S. Choe asked to make a motion regarding the previously discussed RWF-2019-1 – Output dose display. He asked to charge the UV task Group with drafting a test method to validate the output display.

Motion by B. Hamil
Charge the Task Group on UV to create a validation method for UV displays.
Second: R. George
Discussion:
S. Andrews asked if this would apply to supplemental or secondary systems, or both. S. Choe responded that the Task Group would need to decide this.

Vote:
All in favor.

Motion: Carries.