
D.Melaragno is the issue proponent and presented her paper and supporting documents, along with a short presentation.

FE-2019-09 - Definition for Potentially Hazard Foods.pdf
2019_08_NSF-JC - Sheets and PHF.pptx

M.Perez opened the floor for comments
J.Leonard suggested it makes sense to align with the food code, but warned it’s a slippery slope to assume everything is the same between the two. Based on the presentation updated since the submittal of the original issue paper, the JC suggested the issue paper be revised to include the following items:

- Add definition for time/temperature control for safety (TCS) food to correspond with the current U.S. FDA Food Code (2017):
- Add a definition for “cut leafy greens”:
- Revise clause 3.155 for “potentially hazardous food” to reference updated definition:
- Update the term “potentially hazardous food” to “time/temperature control for safety (TCS) food” in other applicable definitions and guidelines in various NSF/ANSI standards

Motion, J.Hipp: send to straw poll with JC, with the amended language as just discussed.

Second: J.Brania
Discussion: M.Perez asked D.Melaragno to submit an amended issue paper. J.Brady asked G.Liggans if there’s an FDA comment. G.Liggans said it’s definitely important to consider harmonization, but will hold back the comments for the straw poll. M.Kohler indicated he has always been in favor of harmonizing with the Food Code. In this case, the JC has stepped back for some time to see how the industry reacted to the change in the Food Code. Enough time has passed and appropriate to consider this issue. J.Brania indicated this definition would influence at least 6 standards, and asked the group if this would need to go to each TG for work. M.Perez suggested that would be based on the results of the straw ballot, after which we’ll make that decision.

Vote: Twenty-seven in favor, Zero opposed, Zero Abstentions

Motion: Carries

M.Perez asked if there were any other comments; there were none.
Tab F5 – New Issue Paper FE-2019-10 – Definition for Sheets
D.Melaragno is the issue proponent and presented her paper and supporting documents, along with a short presentation.

FE-2019-10 - Definition for Sheets.pdf
2019_08_NSF-JC - Sheets and PHF.pptx

M.Perez opened the floor for comments

J.Hipp asked D.Melaragno to explain a time when no definition of 'sheet' has caused an issue. She presented pictures from issue paper, detailing the concern. B.Sickles suggested if the word ‘permanent’ were added to the definition it would cover pictures 2 and 3; the group agreed.

J.Brady asked M.Kohler if NSF has run into this same problem, to which M.Kohler indicated there haven’t been any specific issues. He added however that this requirement seems like one that would benefit from some discussion and consideration.

M.Perez said there wasn’t a specific ‘call for action’ on the issue paper, and D.Melaragno indicated she was asking for the group to look at the clauses in Standard 2. M.Perez then asked D.Melaragno to rewrite the issue paper with the specific call for action to standard 2, after which he would send it directly to the Standard 2 Task Group. D.Melaragno agreed.

M.Perez asked if there were any other comments; there were none.