G. Bleach label requirements (section 6) (DWA-60-2019-5)

**Motion:** Change the reference to AWWA B300 from a requirement to an informational note. T. Palkon motioned; R. Horne seconded.

**Amended motion:** Revise section 6.3.3 to keep the language on the certification listing. T. Palkon motioned; R. Horne seconded.

**Discussion:** B. Stark provided information on the scope of AWWA B300, which includes recommendations for the handling and storage of hypochlorite solutions, to control decomposition of bleach and limit formation of perchlorate and chlorate over time. He explained that Section 6.3.3 of NSF/ANSI/CAN 60, requires the manufacturing date and (if applicable) the repackaging date to be included on documentation supplied with any shipment of a bleach product (e.g., bill of lading, certificates of analysis, safety data sheets, etc.). B. Stark stated that because AWWA B300 is primarily utilized by water utilities (bleach end users), the reference to B300 be changed from a literature requirement under NSF/ANSI/CAN 60 to an informational reference.

F. Lemieux expressed concern that the small utilities won’t look at NSF/ANSI/CAN 60 to see if this information is included. C. McLain agreed the smaller users may never see that documentation. It was noted that the most critical documentation would be the bill of lading. B. Stark clarified that the way the labeling requirements are currently written in the standard is not very prescriptive as to the document type; it could be the bill of lading. K. Licko noted that this AWWA report used to be easily accessible on their website, but now appears it be only available to members.

R. Horne stated that the way the standard is currently written, during an audit if the certification mark is anywhere on the paperwork this AWWA reference also must be on it. This proposal is trying to allow more latitude. J. Palmer noted that the Chlorine Institute also has a good document available on their website. S. Neal stated his opinion that there’s only so much room on the label. He agreed that the reference to AWWA B300 should not be a requirement every time the certification mark is listed. B. Stark asked the group if they would want to see a footnote in the certifier’s listing. T. Palkon agreed.

The group discussed whether the requirement for the reference should be left on the manufacturer’s use instructions. Several members agreed that one would not include the use instructions on the bill of lading or certificate of quality.

**Vote:** 22 in favor; 0 opposed; 5 abstentions (S. Anderson, K. Levels, P. Olson, M. Schneider, M. Schock)

**Motion passed.**