MEMORANDUM

TO: PCR Committee on Cements

FROM: Alex Mlsna, Chairperson

DATE: November 20, 2020

SUBJECT: Proposed New Product Category Rule (PCR) – BIFMA Tables

Enclosed for your review is the draft of Product Category Rule for BIFMA Tables Issue 1, Revision 1. Please review the ballot for this PCR and submit your vote by the ballot due date of December 5, 2020 via the online workspace (http://standards.nsf.org).

Each PCR Committee member will vote affirmative, abstain, or negative with comment. Each negative vote must be justified in the comment section. Please include exactly why you oppose and what changes must be made in order for you to support the proposed draft.

Purpose
The purpose of this ballot is to create a new Product Category Rule for BIFMA Tables products.

Background
The PCR document was prepared in accordance with ISO 14025 and ISO 21930. An open enrollment period was provided to seek out stakeholders interested in being part of the PCR creation. A multi-stakeholder group composed of industry personnel, users, academia, sustainability consultants, government agency representatives, and other experts worked to create the PCR.

This PCR documents the goal and scope of LCAs for this product category in order to produce environmental product declarations according to ISO 14025 and ISO 21930. The PCR includes all life cycle phases in order to obtain the raw materials and manufacture hydraulic cement.

Public Health Impact
The new Product Category Rule intends to have a positive impact on public health.

If you have any questions about the technical content of the ballot, you may contact me in care of:

Alex Mlsna
Chairperson, PCR Committee on BIFMA Tables
c/o PCR Committee Secretariat,
Andrea Burr
NSF International
(734) 913-5794
aburr@nsf.org
This Product Category Rule (PCR) applies to products covered under UN Central Product Classification system, Class 3812 for Other Furniture, of a kind used in offices. Examples of common names or terms used to convey the function of table products within an office setting include, but are not limited to, the following: Single User - (Fixed Height or Adjustable), Conference/Classroom Table - (Fixed Height or Adjustable), Occasional (laptop, coffee, etc.) or side table, Multi-purpose / Training Table (intended for 2 or more users at one time - (Fixed Height or Adjustable), Cafeteria/Café and Table desk (Fixed Height or Adjustable) (Writing desk). Note that Tables with storage capacity are not intended to use this PCR with specific exceptions as noted in Section 2. This PCR includes the manufacture of table products constructed from singular or multiple materials including, but not limited to, the following: wood, metal, plastic, particleboard, medium density fiberboard (MDF), bio-based materials, or mixtures of other materials. This PCR is valid through XXX, XX, 20XX.

This document specifies the requirements for the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) study, and the format and content of the EPD itself. The scope of this PCR was based on the availability of information and is North American and European based. Recognizing the global aspects of the furniture industry, global adoption and uniformity of this PCR may be addressed in future revisions as information becomes available. Due to availability, there are some limitations of specificity in the document.

Additional acknowledgements and alignment efforts are included in this PCR to address ISO 21930:2017 and the updated requirements of this standard. This including input from an independent 3rd party review and gap analysis that was the basis for many adaptations of the requirements in this PCR. The scope of the products under this PCR are not considered building materials and not permanently installed materials associated with a building. Additional scope and guidance in this PCR have been included to address applicable attributes of ISO 21930:2017 and relevant market driven indicators and requirements. Such additions include: alignment with LCIA methodologies, inventory assessment categories to be reported, EPD reporting of impacts not only in total but at each stage in the product life, communication of hazardous or toxic properties of the product (if any) from end of life, and guidance supporting creation of “Industry Average” EPDs.

NSF International (the program operator) and the Business and Institutional Furniture Manufacturers Association Product Category Rules Task group have prepared this PCR document in accordance with ISO 14025. An open enrollment period was provided to seek out stakeholders interested in being part of the PCR creation. A multi-stakeholder group composed of furniture industry personnel, material manufacturers, sustainability consultants, and other experts worked to create the PCR.
The purpose of this PCR is to provide transparent guidance for an organization to conduct an LCA and develop an EPD in an effort to measure progress towards environmental improvements of the organization’s products being studied and to communicate environmental information about the product to the public.

This PCR was not written to support comparative assertions between products of different manufacturing organizations. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the variability in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner’s assumptions, the source of the data used in the study and the software tool used to conduct the study.

1.1 Goal and scope requirements for the LCA study

The goal of this PCR is to specify the guidelines for developing an ISO 14025 conformant Type III Environmental Product Declaration, based on an ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 conformant LCA. The goal of an LCA that conforms to this PCR shall be, at a minimum, to calculate the environmental impacts of each life cycle phase of the product for select indicators and shall be presented in such a way as to present the life cycle environmental impacts to the public. The scope of the LCA shall conform to the ISO 14040 series and be from cradle-to-grave.

It is recommended that users of this PCR include a statement in their EPD as follows:

*The PCR this EPD was based on was written to determine the potential environmental impacts of a table type product from cradle-to-grave. It was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner’s assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.*
2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

This PCR applies to table product(s) that provide the function of supporting an individual or group of individuals to conduct work or a task within various settings. This would include provisions for setting items (computers, papers, phones, task lighting, etc.) on as well as serving as a designated area for an individual to perform other necessary office work-related tasks. The product description shall include the name of the product, product manufacturer and/or model number, a general description, a picture of the product, classification of the product and number of functional units that the product represents. The minimum product, or range of products, shall be sufficient to meet the service life identified in Functional Unit, Section 3.

Such declarations shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- The sub-category of the product (Choose One): SHALL reference static height vs height adjustable table HAT (electric / manual) in description and be embedded within each sub-category)
  - Single User - (Fixed Height or Adjustable)
  - Conference/Classroom Table - (Fixed Height or Adjustable)
  - Occasional (laptop, coffee, etc.) or side table,
  - Multi-purpose / Training Table (intended for 2 or more users at one time - (Fixed Height or Adjustable)
  - Cafeteria/Cafe
  - Table desk (Fixed Height or Adjustable) (Writing desk)

A product shall be considered a table if it contains no more than one (1) storage option per intended user, and provided the following specifications:

- For the purposes of this PCR, a low height drawer is a drawer, with a clearance height less than 76 mm (3 in.) which is primarily intended for the storage of light office supplies (such as pencils, pens, erasers, staples, etc.). The drawer total volume shall not exceed 0.021 m³ (0.75 ft³).

- Any product with a larger storage capacity shall not be eligible for reporting under this PCR. Such products are eligible to be reported under BIFMA PCR for Office Furniture Workspace Products: UNCPC 3814.
– The number of occupants the reference product is intended to support at one time (i.e., a training table is chosen, and the reference flow used for the LCA is based upon a “3-person”, and therefore, the number of occupants supported is 3 shall be clearly reported. For tables not intended to support occupants, the product description shall state the function of the product and its intended use;

– Area of physical floor space, in square meters, which the reference product will require; including the total area of work surface provided, in square meters (if any) plus the additional space required (aerial view perspective of floor area requirements) to support product functionality and to allow for any overhanging items attached to table product, including, but not limited to: hinge brackets, push button controls, adjustment handles or levers, etc.

– A photo, image, or rendering of the referenced product showing all features.
  – Image method can be of any method that clearly conveys product and may include call outs to aid in clarity of features, or multiple images may be considered as appropriate.

– The features that the reference product includes in the arrangement / configuration of the LCA study; Examples include:
  – Worksurface,
  – Adjustability of worksurface height*,
  – Inclusion of privacy / modesty panels,
  – Floating shelves,
  – Inclusion of electrical components as part of the inventory if the components are incorporated into the product, as appropriate, *
    – Task lighting*, height adjustable or other peripherals*, etc.*

*Note: if product configuration included electrical componentry as part of the inventory, there shall be NO use phase associated with those components. As several scenarios could be developed, there are too many variables in terms of the amount of usage, electrical grid (internal and external), etc. and life expectancy validation to warrant use phase inclusion. In lieu of use phase assumptions associated with electrical components, the underlying LCA study and related EPD shall report energy usage requirements in kW-hr for 1 hour of usage.
For power requirements associated with adjustments to the height of the worksurface (i.e. sit to stand tables), the scenario should include and reflect the power requirements for the product to be adjusted from the lowest/highest position to the highest/lowest position and then returned to the original position; as this would presume a full range of adjustments per hour of usage.

Based on multiple proprietary LCAs and one publicly available LCA\(^1\) from furniture industry manufacturers, similar product configurations [i.e., product's available with different textiles, core construction material (metal, wood, composite), surface treatments, number of drawers/shelves, etc.] may be included in the same declaration, provided that the range of variation within each impact category does not exceed ± 10% of impact categories listed in Parameters to be Declared in the EPD, Section 8. To reduce the number of unique product LCAs and EPDs for similar office furniture workspace products, a base configuration can be used internally to support and compare product options against the declared base configuration. The LCA report may include multiple configurations that differ from each other by more than 10% in one or more impact categories. A base configuration shall be chosen for an EPD and those configurations (textiles, materials, surface treatments etc.) that are within the 10% threshold may be indicated in the EPD as being representative by the base configuration. Alternative configurations with greater than 10% change in one of more impact categories may be reported within the EPD as such, alternatives. If alternative configurations are to be included, then the Goal and Scope of the study shall clearly define that the base configuration represents the normal values and alternate configurations represent ranges in the product(s) environmental impacts; such information shall also be included in the EPD. For example, the base configuration could be a table with a glass top; and alternative configuration could be a table with a laminated wood top and then be assessed to reflect maximum and/or minimum impacts to environmental categories.

\(^1\) Dietz, Bernhard *Life cycle assessment of office furniture products* 2005 <http://css.snred.umich.edu>
3 FUNCTIONAL UNIT

The functional unit shall be one square meter (1m²) of physical floor space (workspace and storage) for a period of 10 years meeting the requirements below:

- 1m² refers to area of physical floor space, which the reference product will require; encompassing the total area of work surface provided, in square meters plus the additional floor space required (aerial view perspective of floor area requirements) to support product functionality and to allow for overhanging items attached to table product, including, but not limited to: hinge brackets, push button controls, adjustment handles or levers, etc. that the table product occupies. These added features of the table product and its base materials create the width and longest lengths of the product and that perimeter shall then extend to the floor to define the total physical floor space of the product in scope. In practice, the user would likely create an LCA for their standard table product (example a 2-person training) and the user would know the floor space the entire product (the 2-person that was modeled) occupies. The user would then normalize the results of the entire product to the 1m² functional unit.

Reference Example Only: (2-person table product)

Table products shall provide defined workspace that allows one or more individuals to fulfill the requirements of a job, task or role in an office type environment. Tables NOT intended to provide a personal workspace shall be clearly identified as such, but shall still be normalized to 1m². Table products that provide a workspace will show compliance to ANSI/BIFMA X5.5 to meet the 10-year service life.
− Table products designed to last longer than 10 years shall use one (1) unit of reference flow normalized to the 1m² (No fractional products may be reported in this case). For products designed to last longer than 10 years, it can be noted in the EPD the time period the product is intended to last.
− Table products that will not last for 10 years and/or have a warranty period less than 10 years will include the number of units necessary to meet the 10 year time period (for example, a table product with a 4 year warranty would require 2.5 units of product to meet the functional unit requirements, as which no fractional unit allocation shall be used and the results will be based upon 3 units of the product).

Table products may include any, and all, of the following features and functions:

− provide for division of space, such as a partition, privacy screen or other way of delineating space.
− provide a worksurface to support office type work in addition to providing physical support for electronics, materials for writing, or other materials that could be set upon or embedded in or attached to the surface.
− provide attached storage for materials such as paper, books, accessories, or other items,
− allow for attached accessories such as a task lighting.

The following is provided as an example of the approach to be used for normalizing a built product to the functional unit. The complete bill of materials for the base configuration, including all materials and parts that are needed to make up the configuration would be included in the BOM and thus included in the LCA model. The user would run the LCA model and determine the impacts. The floor space for the base configuration that was modeled occupies is calculated. If, for example, the floor space of the modeled configuration was 10m², this includes the entire product configuration including all materials in the “Z” height orientation. The user would divide the LCA impacts of the modeled configuration by 10m² to normalize the results to the 1m² functional unit.

NOTE: Any free-standing furniture not physically attached to the table product is NOT to be included in the footprint or the scope of the table product as those are not physically joined, and thus NOT part of the boundaries of the Functional Unit.
The ANSI/BIFMA X5.5 method is an industry-recognized and approved test methodology demonstrating that an office furniture workspace products unit remains usable for a period of 10 years. Products that have been documented to meet ANSI/BIFMA X5.5 can be also considered to meet the 10-year service life. The 10-year service life was based upon an extensive study conducted on behalf of BIFMA, in which various product types were evaluated for typical service life in their original application, prior to replacement due to various reasons, including updates to facility and the need for general replacement.

If the product does not meet ANSI/BIFMA X5.5 or equivalent*, and the warranty period is five years or more, the maximum service life shall be five years. For products with warranties less than five years, the service life shall equal the warranty period.

*note: equivalent shall be defined as an approved open consensus-based standard for testing structural and durability of a finished product and thusly validated compliance by an approved (accredited) testing facility.

3.1 Cut-off rules

All known mass and energy flows should be included. Any flows that are knowingly omitted, shall be justified in the LCA Report and EPD, and shall meet the criteria as follows: Any mass and energy flow within the product boundary, which consists of less than 1%, may be omitted. Cumulative omitted mass or energy flows shall not exceed 5%. Cut-off rules shall not be applied in order to hide data pertaining to high impact (harmful) substances.
4 SYSTEM BOUNDARIES

System boundaries are a set of criteria specifying which unit processes are part of a product system. The entire life cycle shall be covered from cradle-to-grave, including all industrial processes from raw material acquisition and pre-processing, production, product distribution and storage, use and maintenance, and end-of-life management. Rules on how recycling processes should be handled are described in detail in Allocation Rules, section 5.

Production of capital goods, infrastructure, and personnel-related activities shall be excluded. The underlying LCA report shall detail the system boundaries and include a description of the life cycle stages for the product under study. The boundary of the study shall follow the modularity principle thus all environmental aspects and potential impacts are declared in the life cycle stage where they can be attributed. A system boundary example is shown below.

![System Boundaries Diagram](image)

**Figure 1: System Boundaries example flow for illustrative purposes only**

- Mandatory inclusion of specific data (Gate to Gate boundary)
- Primary data for processes under operational control /
- Secondary data allowable to (pre)-processes not under operational control
4.1 Material acquisition and pre-processing stage (Cradle to Gate)

The material acquisition, pre-processing, and intermediate processing stage starts when the material is extracted from nature and ends when the material in component form reaches the gate of the production facility or service delivery operation. Materials and related processing are considered as follows:

- Primary materials are extracted from nature, examples include iron ore, bauxite, wood, etc. that are used to create basic materials used in the production of office furniture (e.g., semi-finished sheet steel).

- Secondary materials are recovered, reclaimed, or recycled content that are used to create basic materials to be used in the production of office furniture. Transportation of materials shall be included in the life cycle impact assessment.

- Primary processing is the conversion of primary materials to a bulk form or a generic shape (basic materials or components that are not necessarily manufactured exclusively for the office furniture industry).

- Intermediate processing is the conversion of basic materials to components (e.g., particleboard, plastic pellets, steel coil, etc.).

In practice, many materials can be combinations of both primary and secondary materials. For the material extraction and primary/intermediate processing stage, the boundary ends when the component reaches the gate of the production stage.

Waste and scrap created during raw material acquisition and pre-processing, and emissions associated with transporting the material to recycling or landfill centers shall be accounted for in the LCA Report and EPD. Primary data for this stage shall be used, if available, otherwise secondary data shall be used. Secondary data shall be used for upstream processes, and shall be from relevant national or regional databases, or similarly regionally developed life cycle inventory data. (Reference sections 7.1 and 7.2 of this PCR for data requirements) If waste materials are recycled, landfilled, combusted, or composted, the transport distance shall be reported. In the US, the EPA WARM model gives an average transport end of life distance as 32 kilometers (20 miles), this value shall be
used for US based processes when primary data or other secondary data are not available, and when transport distance is not integrated into the dataset.

For material waste where it is known that the waste is not embedded into the dataset, or where primary data is being used and scrap data are unknown, a 10% scrap rate shall be used for the model and material recycling percentages. Scrap rates for the US shall be based on USEPA Municipal Solid Waste Generation, Recycling, and Disposal in the United States: Facts and Figures [https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/national-overview-facts-and-figures-materials#Recycling/Composting](https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/national-overview-facts-and-figures-materials#Recycling/Composting) outside the US, a regional, or nationally appropriate waste model shall be used.

All transportation, including interfacility transport, prior to the material being shipped to the production stage shall be included.

Transport from the raw material stage to the production stage shall be included. If using a life cycle inventory unit process where this transport data is not included, or primary data do not exist, transport distances for all three modes listed in Table 1 shall be used for North American based processes. Transport of the extracted raw materials within the acquisition and pre-processing stage is not covered in Figure 1. For processes outside of North America, an appropriate regional or national transportation distance and mode(s) shall be used where primary data do not exist. A weighted average transportation distance may also be calculated from primary data. Table 1 below is intended to be used for material transportation associated with the appropriate process flow(s). If more than one transportation mode was required, then the usage of multiple transportation data sets shall be reflected in the LCA. Where the table shows values for transport for rail, truck and ship, all values shown in the appropriate row shall be used, if using the table. For instance, Veneer is shown to travel by truck, rail and ship to reach the manufacturer.
### Table 1 North American default material transport distances, material acquisition, and pre-processing stage to North American production stage:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Material/Classification grouping</th>
<th>Distance (miles)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>Truck*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veneer 26 Wood Products</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Board 26 Wood Products</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDF 26 Wood Products</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Backer 27 Pulp, newsprint, paper, and paperboard</td>
<td>742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Wood 26 Wood Products</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plywood 26 Wood Products</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic (inc. polymer-based materials; exc. textiles) 24 Plastics &amp; Rubber</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>Truck*</th>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>32 Base metal in prim. or semifin. forms &amp; in finished basic shapes</td>
<td>562 miles in 2007 U.S. DOT Shipment Characteristics by SCTG*** Code Table 7¹</td>
<td>932 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extruded Aluminum</td>
<td>32 Base metal in prim. or semifin. forms &amp; in finished basic shapes</td>
<td>562 miles in 2007 U.S. DOT Shipment Characteristics by SCTG*** Code Table 7¹</td>
<td>932 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast Aluminum</td>
<td>32 Base metal in prim. or semifin. forms &amp; in finished basic shapes</td>
<td>562 miles in 2007 U.S. DOT Shipment Characteristics by SCTG*** Code Table 7¹</td>
<td>932 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>31 Nonmetallic mineral products</td>
<td>0 miles (NA due to this mode of transport not being significant for this commodity, to our knowledge)</td>
<td>126 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric Leather</td>
<td>30 Textiles, leather, and articles of textiles or leather</td>
<td>0 miles (NA due to this mode of transport not being significant for this commodity, to our knowledge)</td>
<td>294 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>This includes all other non-specified material (fiberglass, organics, etc.)</td>
<td>208 miles**</td>
<td>531 miles**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The average transport distances could also be used for complete components or units.
NOTE: Oceanic distances were approximated. For materials where a particular mode of transport was not typical or common, it was assumed to be zero.

Table 7 and Table 13 as referenced from: [https://www.bts.gov/surveys/commodity-flow-survey/2017-cfs-preliminary-data](https://www.bts.gov/surveys/commodity-flow-survey/2017-cfs-preliminary-data) Tables 1-6 as appropriate

Distances taken from the U.S. Bureau of Transportation Statistics website's.

*Truck distance listed in round trip, as the assumption is made that the delivery truck returns empty after making the delivery.

**Distances are based upon the average of all other material categories and shall be used in absence of primary data.

***SCTG refers to Standard Classification Transportable Goods

4.2 Production, Storage and Distribution (Gate to Gate)

The production stage starts with the product components entering the production site and ends with the final product leaving the production gate. This stage is intended to be “gate-to-gate”.

Gate-to-gate describes the product boundary encompassing the fabrication and assembly of business and institutional furniture. For the purposes of this PCR, the entry gate is the receiving dock of the first facility where basic materials used in the manufacture of the furniture (e.g., steel, particleboard, fabric, laminate, etc.) begin the conversion to furniture components. The end gate is the shipping dock where the ready-to-install furniture will be transported for distribution to the end user. The gate-to-gate will include transportation of intermediate materials and components between facilities where more than one physical location is included in the manufacturing process.

The terms “site” and “gate” are used here figuratively, as a product may go through many processes and corresponding intermediate facilities before exiting the production stage as a final product. During production, the product undergoes the transformation from intermediate materials, to product component, to semi-finished product, to the final product; additionally, any co-products or wastes formed during production shall be considered in this stage. Production includes processes such as:

- Transport of components or semi-finished products between processes and/or facilities.
- The following shall include the materials and energy use along with the transportation to the point of use:
− Production of the final product by assembling of the components or semi-finished products;
− Production of the components or semi-finished product(s);
− Use of catalysts or other ancillary materials during production;
− Any additional preparation of the final product, including forming, surface treatment, machining and/or other processes, as appropriate; and
− Materials used in packaging of the final product shall be included.

Waste and scrap created during production shall be included in the LCA model. Commercially available LCA software programs typically embed these flows in the modeling datasets. The primary data shall be used if it is available. In the absence of primary data, if waste materials are recycled, landfilled, combusted, or composted, the transport distances shall follow the current version of the USEPA WARM Model, which is currently 20 miles (32 Kilometers) [USEPA Waste Reduction Model (WARM)17] within North America, or another appropriate regionally or nationally applicable model outside North America.

For waste and scrap in production processes within the gate-to-gate operations that are under operational control of the producer of the functional unit and are not imbedded in software package’s modeling datasets, or when no primary data exist, a 30% scrap rate shall be used for materials and processes in the LCI model. The transport distances shall again follow the USEPA WARM Model17, currently 20 miles, or other appropriate regionally or nationally applicable model. The amount of waste material sent to landfill versus recycling shall be based on EPA Municipal Solid Waste Generation, Recycling, and Disposal in the United States: Facts and Figures for Durable Goods2 within North America, or other appropriate regionally or nationally applicable model for production outside of North America.

Transportation of parts, semi-finished and finished products to the place of final assembly and/or distribution shall be included. Intercompany movement of parts, semi-finished and finished products shall be accounted for where facilities fall under operational control of the reporting company. For facilities not under operational control of the reporting company, intercompany movement of goods should be included.

The product distribution and storage stage start with the product leaving the gate of the production facility and ends when the consumer takes possession of the product. Several legs of distribution and storage may occur for one

product, including storage at a distribution center and a retail location, if applicable. Product distribution and storage include processes such as facility operations and transportation between facilities.

4.3 Use (Usage)

The use stage begins when the consumer takes possession of the product and ends with the used product entering the end-of-life stage. For some products, the use stage does not require energy or generate emissions (e.g., greenhouse gases); for these products, transportation to and from a repair/maintenance facility to the use-location, to the end-of-life location, may be the only major processes. Transportation mode and distances shall be based on primary data. Typical processes for distribution and use include:

- Storage at the use location;
- Normal use;
- Repair and maintenance occurring during the usage time; and
- Assembly and installation of a product.

4.4 End-of-life stage

The end-of-life stage boundary begins when the used product is ready for disposal, recycling, reuse, etc. and ends when the product is landfilled, returned to nature or transformed to be recycled or reused. Processes that occur as a result of the disposal are also included within the end of life stage. End-of-life processes may include:

- Collection of end-of-life products and packages;
- Incineration and sorting of bottom ash; and
- Landfilling, landfill maintenance, decomposition emissions.
Primary data on the actual end of life treatment for the product, shall be used, if available. In the absence of primary data, the most current version of the following shall be used to determine the percent of each material in the product(s) that can be recycled versus landfilled:

- USEPA WARM model, or
- surrogate (shall be identified in the EPD) within North America, or
- another appropriate regionally or nationally applicable model shall be used outside North America.

The amount of each material in the product that can be assumed to be recycled, is determined by multiplying the EPA MSW within North America, or other appropriate regionally or nationally applicable model recycling rate (in %), by the amount of each homogenous material type that is able to be disassembled. The remaining materials that are not recycled, should be modeled for end of life, using 80% landfill and 20% incineration3. To aid in proper disposal and recycling, the product shall have a description to address the components and materials that are mechanically separable by using common tools: hammer, screwdrivers, etc. Along with the description of components and materials, information shall be included stating any known hazardous or toxic properties potentially associated with each if incinerated or landfilled.

3 The usage of 80%/20% is a general disposition determined by the US EPA in the “Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life-Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks” document, page 111, and is deemed to be an acceptable disposition rate of final materials.
5 ALLOCATION RULES

Where possible, allocation should be avoided by dividing unit processes into two or more sub-processes (as specified in ISO 14044, Section 4.3.4, Allocation), or through expansion of system boundaries to include the additional functions of co-products. If allocation cannot be avoided, the following hierarchy of allocation methods is preferred:

- Mass, or other biophysical relationship; and
- Economic value.

If the above-mentioned allocation methods give results differing more than 25% in relevant impact categories for the allocated processes, a justification for the choice of allocation method shall be provided. Deviation from these allocation rules shall be documented and justified.

Deviation from these allocation rules shall be documented and justified.

For allocation due to recycling, companies shall use the Recycled Content Method. Allocation procedures for reuse and recycling discussed in ISO 14044-2006 (see Section 4.3.4.3) shall be applied for recycling situations.
Figure 2, for reference purposed only, illustrates a simplified process map for a product that uses the Recycled Content Method\(^4\). The Recycled Content Method is also referred to as the cut–off method, and the 100-0 method.

\(^4\) The collection process is listed as an attributable end-of-life process; however, the location of this process depends on how the recycled material is collected, as discussed above and in *System Boundaries*, Section 4.
6 UNITS AND QUANTITIES

International System of Units (SI units) shall be used for both the LCA and the EPD. Quantities shall be represented with a maximum of three significant figures.
7  CALCULATION RULES AND DATA QUALITY REQUIREMENTS

7.1  Types and sources of data

Primary data shall be used for facilities and processes under operational control of the reporting company. Secondary data that is representative of other facilities or locations producing similar components and processes may be used for facility operations that contribute less than 10% of the total product output, when at least 50% of facility operations data are from a primary source. For example, if the reporting company has more than one facility producing similar or same components and products by similar or same processes and materials and is located within the same electrical grid and geographical location, then primary data from the facility producing more than 50% of the annual volume may be used as representative data for all facilities. For facilities and processes outside of the operational control of the reporting company, secondary data may be used. For products that are manufactured wholly or largely outside of the reporting company control (e.g., contracted products or significant assemblies), primary data are highly encouraged; however, secondary data may be used in lieu of primary data. The reporting company shall use energy production data aligned with the region (region shall be used from most local and relevant source being from local power grid, state power grid, country sub-regional power grid, to least of, a national power grid) of manufacture, and shall document the unit processes; and describe how the secondary data are appropriately selected. For the selection of energy production data, the reporting company shall also state what source was justified to be used, for United State usage of e-GRID15 would be appropriate, whereas the synchronous grid of the EU would be appropriate in Europe. Justification for the inability to obtain primary data shall be provided in this case.

Primary data (site specific or representative secondary averages) should be used for unit processes that contribute to the majority of the mass and energy flows, or which have the most relevant environmental emissions (ISO 14044).
7.2 Data quality

A data quality assessment shall be made for the system under study. All data shall be accurate, complete, and representative of the manufacturing process, current technology and current measurement capability. The data shall be consistent with the following requirements:

1. The information obtained from the manufacturing process(es) shall be average annual values with the yearly values documented and averaged, and it shall not be more than five years old. The usage of secondary data shall be less than 10 years old. If data older than 10 years is used from a secondary source, justification shall be included to address why newer data are not available.
   a) For products under development or newer products with less than 12 months production data available, the reporting company shall use proxy data for similar processes, equipment and materials from similar existing products or shall use industry average process and material data for the entire 12 month period. There shall be no mixing of partial primary data with that of industry average data (using six months of primary data and using industry average for the other six months is prohibited).

2. Data should represent the technology(ies) and process(es) in current use.

3. Data quality assessment shall conform to ISO 14044, Section 4.2.3.6.

4. Data quality assessment shall, at a minimum, address the following:
   a) time-related coverage: age of data and the minimum length of time over which data should be collected.
   b) geographical coverage: geographical area from which data for unit processes should be collected to satisfy the goal of the study.
   c) technology coverage: specific technology or technology mix; and
   d) uncertainty of the information (e.g., data, models and assumptions).

Examples: Data quality assessments, examples include (but not limited to):
- USLCI Data Guidelines
- ILCD Handbook
- Table 8.2: Criteria to Evaluate the Data Quality Indicators, WRI product standard
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5. Secondary data should always be used in the upstream phases (extraction, processing and production). Information from databases may be regarded as secondary data, if they fulfill one or more of the following requirements:
   a) Representative of the geographical area, i.e., data from the same country, or from areas with the same energy supply mix;
   b) Technological equivalence;
   c) Boundaries towards nature; and
   d) Boundaries towards technical systems shall be of best equivalence.

If secondary data are not available, use of a specific proxy is allowed. The user shall document and justify the decision to use the specified proxy.

7.3 Data source

The source(s) of the input data shall be reported.
7.4 Electricity modeling

Where primary data are available for the electrical power grid for a given unit process, it shall be energy production data aligned with the region of manufacture used to model the electricity source. Data shall be used from the most local and relevant source, ideally local power grid. If data is unavailable at the local level, then state or sub regional power grid may be used prior to a national power grid. If data are not available at that level, the next highest aggregation of electrical grid data shall be used, with a preference of local, regional, national, and then multinational. For the selection of energy production data, the reporting company shall also state what source was justified to be used, for United State usage of e-grid would be appropriate, whereas the synchronous grid of the EU would be appropriate in Europe.

NOTE - When using an LCI database that does not account for water use, this shall be noted in the EPD.

Carbon offsets shall not be used in the inventory. These refer to credits purchased for processes not under the control of the purchaser. For example, a coal fired power plant might buy carbon credits that support the planting of forests. On-site renewable energy from solar cells or other renewable energy source may only be included in the inventory if the renewable energy certificates (green power attributes or equivalent) are not transferred to another party. This process avoids the issue of double-counting renewable energy inputs.

Renewal energy credits (REC) or certificates may be included providing that they comply with the following definition and requirements and applied to entire facility that is in scope of the study and not just the unit:

Renewable energy certificates (often called "green tags", "tradable renewable credits", "TRCs" or "RECs") represent the environmental attributes of power generated from green power systems. Green power is a subset of renewable energy and represents those renewable energy resources that provide the highest environmental benefit, such as Solar, Wind, Geothermal, Biogas, Biomass (some forms of plant and waste material), Low-impact hydroelectric resources. A renewable energy credit (REC) is created for each megawatt-hour (1 MWh, or 1000 kilowatt-hours) of renewable electricity generated and delivered to the power grid from a green power source.
Renewable Energy Credits or Certificates may be included to replace electricity use in the LCA model only is the corresponding phase of the life cycle, typically gate to gate, as long as a contract for RECs is in place for the duration of the EPD validity period (minimum of 5 years) and the amount or percentage of renewable energy supplied annually is stated. Alternatively, RECs can be purchased annually during the period of validity, for each EPDs created using this PCR, as long as a signed public commitment of intent to procure renewable energy is available at the time the EPD is created. This public commitment must include the amount or percent of renewable energy to be purchased annually.

All of the following REC procurement options are acceptable as long the environmental attributes of the green power procurement methods are appropriately assigned and retired and the RECs are Green-e® certified or equivalent (i.e. Europe: EKOenergy Certified, South America: the Brazilian “Certificado de Energia Renovável”):

- Unbundled RECs
- Utility Supply RECs
- Utility Green Tariff RECs
- Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) RECs
- RECs included in a Physical Power Purchase Agreement
- RECs included in a Financial Power Purchase Agreement

Additionally, for off-site RECs to count they must be generated on the same national power grid as the reporting company. Preference is for local, then regional or national.

On-site renewable energy from green power sources may only be included in the inventory if the renewable energy certificates (green power environmental attributes) are not transferred to another party. This requirement avoids the issue of double-counting renewable energy inputs.
7.5 LCIA methodology

Impacts for each of the following categories shall be disclosed in the EPD Impacts. Impacts shall be calculated and reported per functional unit for each life-cycle stage including materials acquisition and refining, production (manufacturing and assembly), distribution and use, and end of life.

The following methodologies shall be used regardless of the location of the manufacturer; other methodologies may be reported in addition to the requirements.

1. Global warming potential (GWP 100 years) [kg CO2-eq.]
   IPCC (most recent version); Biomass CO2 emissions shall be reported separately.
   a. Consideration for reporting short-term (20-year horizon), in addition to 100-year reporting, is encouraged.

2. Acidification potential (AP) [kg SO2 eq / kg of emission]
   TRACI 2.1 for North America; EN15084 for Europe, or Hauschild and Wenzel for International

3. Photochemical ozone creation potential (POCP, or “Smog”) [kg O3 eq. / kg of emission]
   TRACI 2.1 for North America; EN15084 for Europe, or Goedkoop et al. for International.

4. Eutrophication potential (EP) [kg N eq. / kg of emission]
   TRACI 2.1 for North America; EN15084 for Europe, or Heijungs et al. for International.

5. Ozone Depletion Air (kg CFC 11-eq – TRACI 2.1)
   TRACI 2.1 for North America; EN15084 for Europe, or WMO for International.

Optional indicator and methodology: this additional analysis may be completed in order to align and comply with the requirements found in USGBC’s LEED program for EPD optimizations.

6. Formation of tropospheric ozone, in kg NOx, kg O3 eq, or kg ethene
   TRACI 2.1 or CML2001; or outside North America, regionally applicable methodologies.

NOTE¹ – Where TRACI 2.1 is referenced, TRACI 2.1, or the latest TRACI methodology available, shall be used at the time of EPD creation, similarly for reference to CML2001.
7.6 Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses shall be performed when allocation is used (on processes owned and controlled by the reporting company) and it is not related to mass or energy flows, or where personnel impacts are included in the measurement. If primary data from more than one location is averaged for a unit process, a sensitivity analysis shall be performed using a plus or minus one standard deviation of the technosphere data as the input range investigated. If proxy data representing more than 1% of the mass or energy of the system is used, a sensitivity analysis shall be performed using a range from half to twice the reference flow of the unit process."
8 PARAMETERS TO BE DECLARED IN THE EPD

LCI data and Impact Assessment results shall be declared in the EPD as detailed below.

8.1 Materials composition

Product specifications consisting of material composition of the reference product, in kg per functional unit, and in percentage of total weight (above the cutoff).

*Reference examples of basic occasional table showing materials and percentage weight of final product:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Material Weight [kgs]</th>
<th>Percent of Product [%]</th>
<th>Post-Consumer</th>
<th>Pre-Consumer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum, A6063</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic, nylon 6</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel, CRS1010</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasteners, screws</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, MDF</td>
<td>54.05</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass, Tempered</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>78.21</strong></td>
<td><strong>89.9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.08</strong></td>
<td><strong>44.93</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.2 Life cycle inventory data

Inventory assessment categories shall be reported (e.g., energy and water) in total.

- Net freshwater usage (kg) (The EPD shall indicate if water usage from electricity generation is not included.) (Note: 1 kg of water is equal to 1 liter of water).
- Primary energy demand in total (renewable and non-renewable energy) (MJ)

The following inventory indicators describing resource use shall be included.

a) Renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), RPRE, are (first use) bio-based materials used as an energy source. Hydropower, solar and wind power used in the technosphere are also included in this indicator.

b) Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, RPRM, are (first use) biobased materials used as materials (e.g. wood, hemp, etc.).

c) Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), NRPRE, are (first use) materials such as peat, oil, gas, coal, uranium used as an energy source.

d) Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, NRPRM, are (first use) primary resources such as oil, gas and coal, used for products (e.g. plastic-based products).

e) Recovered energy, RE, is energy recovered from disposal of waste in previous systems, such as energy recovery from combustion of landfill gas or energy recovered from other systems using energy sources.

Note: The quantification on the indicators RPRM and NRPRM is calculated by multiplication of the mass (kg) of the material input (or its components) with the net calorific value (lower heating value) (MJ/kg) of this input (or its components) for each functional or declared unit. The result for each indicator is a value of MJ/functional or declared unit.

When the total primary energy is given in LCA tools, the indicators RPRE and NRPRE may be calculated as the difference between the total primary energy used and the primary resources used as raw material for the product.
8.3 Impact assessment categories

Impact assessment categories shall be reported by life cycle stage, and in total. Impact categories shall use the characterization models specified in LCIA methodology, Section 7.5 of this PCR.

- Global warming potential
- Acidification potential
- Photochemical ozone creation potential
- Eutrophication potential
- Ozone Depletion Air
- Formation of tropospheric ozone, optional reporting requirement

In addition to disclosure using the TRACI 2.1 indicators, the equivalent indicators from other impact models such as CML7 or those in the EC Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) Guide9 may also be disclosed. Optional LCIA can include but not limited to the following:

- Human Toxicity
- Land Usage
- Ecotoxicity
9 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

EPDs developed using this PCR should include, where relevant, additional information related to environmental issues, other than the environmental information derived from LCA, LCI or information modules. This information shall be separated from the information described in ISO 14025, 7.2.2. Identification of the significant environmental aspects should conform to ISO 14025, 7.2.3 and 7.2.4.

10 INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION

This PCR shall be reviewed in accordance to ISO 14025:2006, 8.1.2 by qualified LCA practitioners for validity and accuracy. Subsequently, all verification of EPD, LCA, LCI and additional environmental information shall conform to ISO 14025, Section 8.1.3. The Type III EPD verification shall conform to ISO 14025, Section 8.1.4.

Verifiers shall conform to ISO 14025, Section 8.2. LCA expertise and conform to program operator instructions.
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12 EPD CONTENT REQUIREMENTS

The content of the environmental product declaration (EPD) shall include the following:

A. Front page:
   − To avoid misinterpretation of results, a company shall include a disclaimer to the audience (reader) identifying the difficulties in comparing results and referring the reader to additional information if needed.
     
     *This EPD was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs or different calculation models may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results due to and not limited to the practitioner’s assumptions, the source of the data used in the study and the software tool used to conduct the study.*
   − Picture of product or family
     i. Recommended that additional imagery is included to address disassembly including tools needed and recyclable materials and components of the product(s)
   − Manufacturer’s name and contact information
   − Information on the EPD program operator
   − Date of certification and period of validity
   − Functional unit
     i. Details aligning to requirements stated in Section 3, including:
        1. 10-year service life, or number of units to meet 10-year service life
        2. Additional Features and functions above and beyond scope of this PCR, reference Section 2.
        3. Denotations of any baseline configuration or alternate configurations included and associated environmental impacts shall be clearly defined.
        4. If electrical components are included in scope, then energy requirements during the Use phase shall be listed per kW-hr.
B. Key environmental parameters:
   1. Primary energy demand
   2. Percentage of recycled content, demonstrating pre- and post-consumer
C. Product specifications, as described in Product Description, Section 2
D. Impact assessment categories, as specified in Impact Assessment Categories, Section 8.3
   - Results shall be in total for the life of the product in addition to each of the four stages of contribution as noted in Section 4: (Material Acquisition & Pre-Processing Phase, Production (Manufacturing / Assembly / Distribution) Phase, Usage Phase, EOL / Disposal Phase)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Stage</th>
<th>Cradle to Gate</th>
<th>Gate to Gate</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>End of Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw Material Extraction and Processing</td>
<td>Transportation to Gate</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation to Gate</td>
<td>Maintenance / Repair (if applicable)</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Disposal modeling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Emissions and wastes, as specified in Parameters to be Declared in the EPD, Section 8
F. Additional environmental information per Other Environmental Information, Section 9
G. Statement of Hazardous or Toxic properties of the product if improperly disposed.
   - Examples include but are not limited to toxicants released if materials are incinerated or due to leaching of additives to the soil or water.
H. Recyclability of Product, materials contained and commonly recyclable, reference Section 4.4
I. References, as specified in References, Section 11
12.1 Period of Validity for the EPD

The validity of the EPD shall be reported in the EPD and shall not exceed a five (5) year period from the date of issuance. If changes in any of the environmental impacts are larger than ± 10%, the EPD shall be adjusted. The EPD shall be reviewed and reissued every five years from the date of issuance or earlier, as appropriate.

12.2 References

The EPD shall, if applicable, refer to:

- The underlying LCA report.
- The relevant PCR document.
- Other documents that complement, verify and support the EPD.
- Instruction for recycling of common recyclable materials including tools required; and
- Program Operator instructions.

12.3 Industry Average EPDs

An industry based average EPD may be developed provided the following conditions are met:

- The study shall consist of data contributions of no less than fifty percent (50%) of the product brand manufacturers (by Brand name) that produce product(s) that would fall within the scope of the range of product(s) of the study being conducted.

- The scope of production is specified to a specific region, i.e. North America, Europe, Asia, etc.

- All data conforms to the requirements of this PCR,
- Description of what the product or range of products represents. The range of product shall comply with the requirements as defined in Section 2 and Section 3. Additional options can be included and presented as additions to the impacts of the base configuration.
  - Example: Base configuration is a solid wood side table; options could include integrated light or pull out drawer. The study would be based upon appropriate data from manufacturers for a base solid wood table and thusly the results presented for the industry average would be for the base configuration. The impacts of the options would be presented as “adders” to the environmental impacts of the base configuration, thus allowing for clarity of impacts associated with the options added to the base product configuration.

- The analysis and modeling shall be conducted by an independent third party and shall:
  - Use at least two (2) different modeling tools (SimaPro, Gabi, OpenLCA, etc.)
  - The results are averaged based on the results of the tools used.

- The summary report shall document results reflecting the range due to the modeling parameters:
  - Assessment tool and level of assumptions
  - Allocation approach(es) that were applied to any specific data collected for the purpose of creating the EPD.