



February 8, 2008

**Subject:**

Ideas and comments regarding the alteration of NSF 61

**Comments:**

Is adding this Annex to the standard allowing us to strengthen NSF 61?

- I do not believe that the answer to that question is an overwhelming one. I also do not believe that anyone can scientifically prove that NSF 61 is an inefficient standard that needs changed or corrected. NSF 61 is a performance standard, a strong one at that. I am concerned that the addition of an Annex of this kind could hurt the usefulness and perception of this standard.

Will the Joint Committee adopt an addition of this nature?

- I would like to suggest that we take a straw pole form the committee members if that is an option. A straw pole was not done during the last joint committee meeting. I am not convinced that even if this task group comes to terms that the joint committee would vote favorably for adoption of this annex.

When will the code enforcement officers of California give their input of the proposed changes?

- This question has been brought up many times and nothing has resulted from it. We must have some kind of representation from those who enforce from the water main to the dwelling. At this time we have no one. I think it is very dangerous to move forward without their input or their written authorizations to have parties already in the group represent them.

**Conclusions:**

These are my thoughts and comments regarding the current status of the group. Thank you.

Nate Buzard

Codes and Standards Engineer

Viega LLC